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## Question 1:

Construct the Büchi Automaton for the (already negated) formula

$$
\mathbf{F}(a \wedge \mathbf{X} b)
$$

- Follow the construction steps presented in the lecture!
- For the $\mathbf{U}$ operator, you can use the one-step rule presented on slide 72.


## Question 2:

(15 points)
Perform CTL model checking on the following Kripke structure for the formula

$$
\varphi=\mathbf{E}((\mathbf{E G} b) \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{E X} a))
$$

a) To this end, draw a table with the set of satisfying states for each subformula of $\varphi$.


For example, the following tableaux is constructed for the formula $\mathbf{E} a \mathbf{U} b$.

| Formula | State(s) |
| :---: | :--- |
| $a$ | $s_{3}$ |
| $b$ | $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{E} a \mathbf{U} b$ | $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{5}$ |

b) Give the intermediate sets used in the fixpoint computations of EG $b$ (the version of the algorithm that does not use SCCs) and $\mathbf{E}((\mathbf{E G} b) \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{E X} a))$.

## Question 3:

## (20 points)

Let $K=\left(S, s_{0}, R, A P, L\right)$ be a finite Kripke structure with $A P=\{i, a, b, c\}$, and let $s_{0}$ be the only state labeled with " $i$ ". Express the following specifications about $K$ in terms of CTL*. Where possible, provide an LTL or CTL formula.
(a) From every state in $K$, it is possible to return to the initial state (which is labeled $i$ ) via a state labeled $a$ again.
(b) All paths starting at the initial state lead to a cycle that does not contain a state labeled $a$ unless the cycle includes a state labeled $b$.
(c) Whenever a state labeled with $a$ is reached, a state labeled with $b$ will be reached at a strictly later point.
(d) Whenever a path reaches a state labeled with $a$, it will eventually reach a state labeled with $c$, but not before it reaches a state labeled with $b$.
(e) Whenever a state labeled with $a$ is reached, a state labeled with $b$ will be reached in at least one but at most three additional steps.

## Question 4:

## (20 points)

Are the following statements true/false? Mark the corresponding column in the table below.
(a) Fairness conditions cannot be directly expressed in CTL*.
(b) Every CTL formula has an equivalent CTL formula containing only $\mathbf{E G}, \mathbf{A X}$, and $\mathbf{E U}$.
(c) For the boolean formula $\left(x_{1} \Rightarrow y_{1}\right) \vee \cdots \vee\left(x_{n} \Rightarrow y_{n}\right)$, one can find an order on the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$, so that the ROBDD that encodes the formula is linear in the size of $n$.
(d) Let $A \wedge B$ be unsatisfiable, and let $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ be interpolants for $A$ and $B$. Then $I_{1} \oplus I_{2}$ is also an interpolant for $A$ and $B$ (where $\oplus$ represents exclusive-or).
(e) Every trace that is a counterexample to a liveness property is lasso-shaped (i.e., has the form $\left.s_{0}, \ldots, s_{\ell-1},\left(s_{\ell}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)^{\omega}\right)$.
(f) For every safety property $\varphi=\mathbf{A G} p$ there exists a Kripke structure $\mathcal{M}$ with $n$ states such that the reachability diameter is $n$.
(g) There is a non-empty Kripke structure $\mathcal{M}$ that satisfies $(\mathbf{A G E F} p) \wedge(\mathbf{E F} \mathbf{A G} \neg p)$.
(h) There are propositional logic formulas $\varphi$ for which the Tseitin transformation yields an equisatisfiable formula $\psi$ in conjunctive normal form (CNF) that is exponentially smaller (in terms of the number of clauses) than the smallest formula in CNF that is logically equivalent to $\varphi$.
(i) If a given transition system is safe (i.e., property $P$ holds), then the IC3 model checking algorithm always computes the logically weakest inductive invariant that proves that $P$ holds.
(j) Given $n$ Büchi automata $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{B}_{n}$, the number of states of the asynchronous product $\mathcal{B}_{1} \|$ $\cdots \| \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is polynomial in $n$.

| Question | True | False |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (a) |  |  |
| (b) |  |  |
| (c) |  |  |
| (d) |  |  |
| (e) |  |  |
| (f) |  |  |
| (g) |  |  |
| (h) |  |  |
| (i) |  |  |
| (j) |  |  |

## Question 5:

Let $G_{i}$ be a frame and $s$ be a state in the IC3 algorithm such that the following holds (i.e., $s$ is unreachable from $G_{i}$ ):

$$
G_{i}(V) \wedge \neg s(V) \wedge T\left(V, V^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \neg s\left(V^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $c\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ be an interpolant for the following pair of formulas:

$$
\left.\left\langle\quad G_{0}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \vee\left(G_{i}(V) \wedge \neg s(V) \wedge T\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \quad, \quad s\left(V^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
$$

(where $I \equiv G_{0}$ is the set of initial states).

Prove that $c$ satisfies initiation and consecution!

