

# Exercise 11

## Discrete Mathematics

January 14, 2020

### Exercise 101

**Task description** Let  $p(x) = x^4 + 1$ .

- (a) Is  $p(x)$  irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ ? If yes, prove it. If no, find a way to write  $p(x)$  as a product of two (non-constant) real polynomials.
- (b) Is  $p(x)$  reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

**Solution:** <https://math.stackexchange.com/a/2096676> Even a short hint on Wikipedia

- (a)  $p(x)$  is reducible.  $p(x) = \underbrace{(x^2 - x\sqrt{2} + 1)}_{a(x)} \cdot \underbrace{(x^2 + x\sqrt{2} + 1)}_{b(x)}$  All coefficients are real.

- (b) No.

**Theorem** Let  $F$  be a field. If  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $\deg f(x)$  is 2 or 3, then  $f(x)$  is reducible over  $F$  if and only if  $f(x)$  has a zero in  $F$ .

$(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is a field.  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are of degree 2. Neither  $a(x)$  nor  $b(x)$  have roots in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, they are irreducible.

We know from the lecture that  $(K[x], +, \cdot)$  is a UFD (unique factorization domain, factorial ring) for any field  $K$ . For any UFD, the factorization into irreducibles is unique up to associates and the order in which the factors appear by definition.

Hence, the factorization  $p(x) = a(x)b(x)$  from task (a) is unique.  $a(x)b(x)$  also has  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$  as coefficient. It follows from those two facts, that there can be no factorization with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## Exercise 102

Wikipedia

<https://www.physicsforums.com/threads/irreducible-polynomials-over-the-reals.474510/post-3147789>

<https://math.stackexchange.com/a/275957>

**Task description** Describe all real polynomials which are irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  
*The tools that you possibly need to use are:*

- (1) *the fundamental theorem of algebra*
- (2) *the fact that if a complex (non-real) number  $z = a + bi$  is a root of a real polynomial  $p(x)$ , then its conjugate  $\bar{z} = a - bi$  is a root of  $p(x)$  as well.*

### Solution

By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra any polynomial  $p(x)$  of degree  $n$  has  $n$  values  $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$  (some possibly degenerate) such that  $p(z_i) = 0$ . Such values are called polynomial roots. This means that  $p(x)$  can be written as product of linear factors  $p(x) = (x - z_1) \dots (x - z_n)$ .

If  $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$  is a complex solution of  $p(x)$ , then there is some  $z_j = \bar{z}_i$  in the factorization which is also a solution by fact (2). Then the product  $(x - z_i)(x - z_j) \in \mathbb{R}$  is real and a quadratic polynomial. It follows that  $p(x)$  can be written as a product linear and quadratic terms. This implies that the only possible irreducible polynomials are linear or quadratic.

## Exercise 103

**Task description** Let  $I$  be the following ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  :  $I = \langle 9, 12 \rangle$  (that is,  $I$  is the ideal generated by the elements 9 and 12). Show that  $I$  is a principal ideal (that is,  $I$  can be generated by a single element). Generalize for  $I = \langle a, b \rangle$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Solution** Consider (like in exercise 100) the definition from Joseph A. Gallian's book Abstract Algebra (note that Prof. Drmota uses  $(m)$  for "generated by  $m$ " and the book uses  $\langle m \rangle$ ):

**Definition** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$

belong to  $R$ . Then  $I = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \{r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + \dots + r_n a_n \mid r_i \in R\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  called the ideal generated by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 9, 12 \rangle &= \{r_1 \cdot 9 + r_2 \cdot 12 \mid r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{\dots, 1 \cdot 9 + (-1) \cdot 12, 0 \cdot 9 + 0 \cdot 12, (-1) \cdot 9 + 1 \cdot 12, (-2) \cdot 9 + 2 \cdot 12 \dots\} \\ &= \{\dots, -3, 0, 3, 6 \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

This of course coincides with the definition from the lecture:

**Definition** If  $R$  is an Euclidean ring and  $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  consists of a finite number of elements, then the ideal that is generated by  $M$  is the principal ideal

$$(M) = (\gcd(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)) = \gcd(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \cdot R.$$

of which we also learned that it is principal. So for  $M = \{3, 9\}$  we get  $\langle 3, 9 \rangle = \gcd(3, 9) \cdot \mathbb{Z} = 3 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ .

We know one very important theorem from the lecture:

**Theorem** If  $R$  is an Euclidean ring then all ideals are principal. More formally, if  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$  then  $\exists r \in R : J = \langle r \rangle = rR$ .

and it was exactly the example from the lecture that the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  are a ring and that if  $J$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  then  $J$  has the form  $J = m\mathbb{Z}$ .

Consequently, it does not matter which  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  are chosen, as long as  $I$  is an ideal,  $I$  will be a principal ideal.

**Proof of the theorem** Suppose that  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

**Case 1** Then  $J = \{0\} = (0) = 0 \cdot R$  is a principal ideal.

**Case 2**  $\exists a \in J \setminus \{0\}$ . Then we have the euclidean evaluation  $n(a)$ . Consider an element  $a_0 \in J \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $n(a_0) = \min\{n(a) \mid a \in J \setminus \{0\}\}$ . Note that in general  $n(a)$  is only defined for non-zero elements. Also note that all  $n(a)$  are natural numbers. It is known that every non-empty set of natural numbers has a minimal element. So  $a_0$  can actually be found. Take now some element  $b \in J$  then there exist  $q, r \in R : b = q \cdot a_0 + r$  with  $r = 0$  or  $n(r) < n(a_0)$  because we're in an euclidean ring and  $a_0$  was chosen to be non-zero. If  $r = 0$  then  $b$  is just

a multiple of  $a_0$ . It holds  $b = q \cdot a_0$ . If  $r \neq 0$  then certainly  $r = b - q \cdot a_0$  is in  $J$  because  $b, a_0 \in J$ . But now  $n(r) < n(a_0)$  which is a contradiction to our definition of  $a_0$ . Consequently,  $r = 0$  is the only case that occurs. So finally,  $J = a_0 \cdot R = (a_0)$ .

## Exercise 104

See StackExchange and also

- StackExchange
- StackExchange
- StackExchange
- StackExchange

**Task description** Let  $I$  be the following ideal of  $(\mathbb{Z}[x], +, \cdot) : I = \langle x, 2 \rangle$ . Show that  $I$  is not a principal ideal.

**Solution**

**Definition** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  belong to  $R$ . Then  $I = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \{r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + \dots + r_n a_n \mid r_i \in R\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  called the ideal generated by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

Therefore, if we define all constants  $a_i, b_i$  for  $x$  of too high degree to be 0, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, 2 \rangle &= \{xf(x) + 2g(x) \mid f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\} \\ &= a_n x^{n+1} + a_{n-1} x^n + \dots + a_1 x^2 + a_0 x + 2b_m x^m + 2b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + 2b_1 x + 2b_0 \\ &= c_k x^k + c_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + \underbrace{(a_0 + 2b_1)}_{c_1} x + 2b_0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_i = a_{i-1} + 2b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k = \max(n+1, m)$ . For example, for  $n = m$  we get the terms  $c_{k-1} x^{k-1} = (a_{n-1} + 2b_m) x^{k-1}$  and  $c_k x^k = (a_n + 2b_{m+1}) x^k$  with  $b_{m+1} = 0$ .

**Observation**  $\langle x, 2 \rangle$  is all polynomials with even (or zero) constant term.

This can be checked by taking such a polynomial  $d_j x^j + d_{j-1} x^{j-1} + \dots + d_1 x + 2d_0$  and transforming it to

$$x \underbrace{(d_j x^{j-1} + d_{j-1} x^{j-2} + \dots + d_1)}_{f(x)} + 2 \underbrace{d_0}_{g(x)}$$

and we see that this is of the form  $\{xf(x) + 2g(x) \mid f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$  and hence in the ideal.

**Definition** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity and let  $a \in R$ . The set  $\langle a \rangle = \{ra \mid r \in R\}$  is an ideal of  $R$  called the principal ideal generated by  $a$ .

**Definition** A subring  $A$  of a ring  $R$  is called a (two-sided) ideal of  $R$  if for every  $r \in R$  and every  $a \in A$  both  $ra$  and  $ar$  are in  $A$ .

Now suppose for a contradiction that  $I$  is generated by a single polynomial  $h(x)$ , that is  $I = \langle x, 2 \rangle = \langle h(x) \rangle$  for some  $h(x) \in I$ .

**Case 1**  $h(x) = c \in I$  is a constant polynomial. Then it is even by our previous observation. Then

$$\langle c \rangle = \{cf(x) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}.$$

Consequently the ideal contains only polynomials with even coefficients and we do not get  $x$  alone.

**Case 2**  $h(x)$  is not a constant polynomial. Then it has degree at least 1. Then non-zero polynomials in  $\langle h(x) \rangle = \{h(x)f(x) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$  have degree at least 1. Examples are

- $\underbrace{(b_1x + b_0)}_{h(x)} a_0$
- and  $\underbrace{(b_2x^2 + b_1x + b_0)}_{h(x)} (a_1x + a_0)$
- but not  $\underbrace{b_0}_{h(x)} (a_1x + a_0)$  or  $\underbrace{b_0}_{h(x)} \cdot a_0$

So here we do not get the constant 2 alone.

As a consequence,  $I$  is not of the form  $\langle h(x) \rangle$ , so  $I$  is not principal.

## Exercise 105

**Task description** Let  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

- (a) Determine the invertible elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .
- (b) Is  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  an integral domain?

### Solution

- (a) **Definition** A unity (or identity) in a ring is a nonzero element that is an identity under multiplication. It need not exist.

**Definition** A nonzero element of a commutative ring with unity need not have a multiplicative inverse. When it does, it is called a unit (or multiplicatively invertible) of the ring. Thus,  $a$  is a unit if  $a^{-1}$  exists. The set of units is

$$R^* = \{a \in R : \exists b \in R : a \cdot b = 1\}$$

and  $(R^*, \cdot)$  is a commutative group.

Wikipedia Note that 1 is the unity (identity) of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . The units of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are precisely the Gaussian integers with norm 1, that is, 1, -1,  $i$  and  $-i$ , because

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1 \cdot 1 = 1 & i \cdot (-i) = 1 \\ (-1) \cdot (-1) = 1 & (-i) \cdot i = 1 \end{array}$$

- (b) **Definition** A ring  $R$  is a set with two binary operations  $+$  and  $-$  such that for all  $a, b, c \in R$  holds

1.  $a + b = b + a$
2.  $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$
3. There is an additive identity 0. That is, there is an element 0 in  $R$  s.t.  $a + 0 = a \forall a \in R$ .
4. There is  $-a$  in  $R$  s.t.  $a + (-a) = 0$
5.  $a(bc) = (ab)c$
6.  $a(b + c) = ab + ac$  and  $(b + c)a = ba + ca$

A ring is an Abelian group under addition, also having an associative multiplication that is left and right distributive over addition.

**Definition** A zero-divisor is a nonzero element of a commutative ring  $R$  such that there is a nonzero element  $b \in R$  with  $ab = 0$ .

**Definition** An integral domain is a commutative ring with unity and no zero-divisors.

Note that where the ring definition is quoted from <sup>1</sup>, the closure property of Abelian group is not mentioned. But it was not mentioned in the ring definition in the lecture either. Nevertheless, here it is for  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ :

Using the identity  $i^2 = -1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}(a + bi) + (c + di) &= (a + c) + (b + d)i \\ (a + bi) \cdot (c + di) &= (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i\end{aligned}$$

so if  $(a + bi) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $(c + di) \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  then their sum and product are also in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

*In the lecture the conclusion that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain followed directly here.*

Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain. So the remaining properties follow directly. For example, associativity over multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}((a + bi)(c + di))(e + fi) &= (ac + adi + bci + bdi^2)(e + fi) \\ &= ace + acfi + adei + adfi^2 + bcei + bcfi^2 + bdei^2 + bdfi^3 \\ &= (a + bi)((cd + cfi + dei + dfi^2)) \\ &= (a + bi)((c + di)(e + fi))\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, this is an integral domain.

---

<sup>1</sup>Gallian, Abstract Algebra

## Exercise 106

**Task description** Show that the set  $S = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication is a field. Compute  $(3 - 5\sqrt{2})^{-1}$ .

### Solution

**Definition** A unity (or identity) in a ring is a nonzero element that is an identity under multiplication. It need not exist.

**Definition** A nonzero element of a commutative ring with unity need not have a multiplicative inverse. When it does, it is called a unit of the ring. Thus,  $a$  is a unit if  $a^{-1}$  exists.

**Definition** A field is a commutative ring with unity in which every nonzero element is a unit.

$S$  is certainly a substructure of the real numbers  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Consequently all properties like the associative law and the distributive law are certainly satisfied. We only have to show that if  $a + b\sqrt{2} \neq 0$  then there exists an element of this form that is the reciprocal of that.

First of all,

$$a + b\sqrt{2} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (a, b) \neq (0, 0) \quad (1)$$

( $a$  and  $b$  are not both 0). Proof:

$\Rightarrow$  If  $a + b\sqrt{2} \neq 0$  then one of  $a$  or  $b$  has to be non-zero. Otherwise  $0 + 0\sqrt{2} = 0$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose not both  $a$  and  $b$  are 0. Suppose that  $a + b\sqrt{2} = 0$ . Then  $b \neq 0$  because if  $b$  were 0 then  $a$  would be 0, too. Consequently,  $\sqrt{2} = -\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$  which is impossible because it is known that  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Contradiction. It follows  $a + b\sqrt{2} \neq 0$ .

Secondly, we have to check that there is an inverse (reciprocal) element of this form. To do so, consider  $\frac{1}{a+b\sqrt{2}}$  where we multiply numerator and denominator by  $a - b\sqrt{2}$

$$\frac{1}{a + b\sqrt{2}} = \frac{a - b\sqrt{2}}{(a + b\sqrt{2})(a - b\sqrt{2})} = \frac{a - b\sqrt{2}}{a^2 - 2b^2} = \underbrace{\frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2}}_{\in \mathbb{Q}} - \underbrace{\frac{b}{a^2 - 2b^2}}_{\in \mathbb{Q}} \sqrt{2} \quad (2)$$

Note that we can replace  $b$  by  $-b$  in both sides of equation 1. From this follows



that if  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$  then  $a - b\sqrt{2} \neq 0$ . As a consequence  $(a + b\sqrt{2})(a - b\sqrt{2})$  in equation 2 is a product of two non-zero numbers. Then  $a^2 - 2b^2 \neq 0$ .

So finally  $(S, +, \cdot)$  is a field.

Using equation 2 we get

$$(3 - 5\sqrt{2})^{-1} = \frac{1}{3 - 5\sqrt{2}} = \frac{3}{3^2 - 2 \cdot 5^2} - \frac{5}{3^2 - 2 \cdot 5^2} \cdot \sqrt{2} = \frac{3}{-41} - \frac{5}{-41} \cdot \sqrt{2}$$

## Exercise 107

Some interesting definitions **Task description** Determine whether the set  $T = \{a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication is a field. If yes, prove it. If not, describe the smallest field (a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) that contains  $T$ .

### Solution

**Definition** A field is a commutative ring with unity in which every nonzero element is a unit.

Nice hint

For the sake of a contradiction, suppose  $T$  is a field. Let

- $a, c = 0$  and  $b = 1$  to get  $\sqrt{2} \in T$
- $a, b = 0$  and  $c = 1$  to get  $\sqrt{3} \in T$

Then  $\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{3} \in T$ . However,  $\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{3} = \sqrt{6}$  is an irrational number. So there is no way to set  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\sqrt{6}$  is in  $T$ . Consequently,  $T$  is not closed under multiplication. Hence  $T$  is **not** a field.

By multiplying two arbitrary elements of  $T$  and using  $\sqrt{2}^2 = 2$  and  $\sqrt{3}^2 = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 + b_1\sqrt{2} + c_1\sqrt{3})(a_2 + b_2\sqrt{2} + c_2\sqrt{3}) \\ &= \underbrace{a_1a_2 + 2b_1b_2 + 3c_1c_2}_{u \in \mathbb{Q}} + \underbrace{(a_1b_1 + a_2b_1)}_{v \in \mathbb{Q}}\sqrt{2} + \underbrace{(a_1c_1 + a_2c_1)}_{w \in \mathbb{Q}}\sqrt{3} + \underbrace{(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)}_{x \in \mathbb{Q}}\sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

we get a term of the form  $u + v\sqrt{2} + w\sqrt{3} + x\sqrt{6}$ .

By multiplying two arbitrary elements of that new form and using the identity  $\sqrt{6} = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 + b_1\sqrt{2} + c_1\sqrt{3} + d_1\sqrt{6})(a_2 + b_2\sqrt{2} + c_2\sqrt{3} + d_2\sqrt{6}) \\ &= a_1a_2 + 2b_1b_2 + 3c_1c_2 + 6d_1d_2 + (a_1b_2 + b_1a_2 + 3c_1d_2 + 3d_1c_2)\sqrt{2} + \\ & \quad (a_1c_3 + 2b_1d_2 + c_1a_2 + 2d_1b_2)\sqrt{3} + (a_1d_2 + b_1c_2 + c_1b_2 + d_1a_1)\sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

we get a term of the new form again. This means that, in contrast to the first one, the new form is closed under multiplication.

- StackExchange

- StackExchange

In fact, we can show that  $T' = \{a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition (Subfield test)** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $K$  be a subset of  $F$  with at least two elements.  $K$  is a subfield of  $F$  if, for any  $a, b (b \neq 0)$  in  $K$ ,  $a - b$  and  $ab^{-1}$  belong to  $K$ .

First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 + b_1\sqrt{2} + c_1\sqrt{3} + d_1\sqrt{6}) - (a_2 + b_2\sqrt{2} + c_2\sqrt{3} + d_2\sqrt{6}) \\ &= (a_1 - a_2) + (b_1 - b_2)\sqrt{2} + (c_1 - c_2)\sqrt{3} + (d_1 - d_2)\sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

is in  $T'$ . It is sufficient to show that the reciprocal exists. It does not have to be given explicitly.

$$\frac{1}{a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6}} = \frac{1}{(a + b\sqrt{2} + (c + d\sqrt{2})\sqrt{3})} = \frac{(a + b\sqrt{2}) - (c + d\sqrt{2})\sqrt{3}}{(a + b\sqrt{2})^2 - 3(c + d\sqrt{2})^2}$$

The numerator is of the form  $a + b\sqrt{3}$  and (by multiplication of)  $a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6}$ . In other words terms of the form  $a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6}$  can be arranged into elements of the form  $a + b\sqrt{3}$ . By multiplication we see that the denominator is of the form  $a + b\sqrt{2}$ . We already found the reciprocal of  $a + b\sqrt{2}$  in the previous exercise.

## Exercise 109

*It would have been smart to multiply with complex conjugates, apparently*

**Task description** Determine the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{3} + i$

(a) over  $\mathbb{Q}$

(b) over  $\mathbb{R}$

(c) over  $\mathbb{C}$

### Solution

**Definition** If  $a$  is algebraic over a field  $F$ , then there is a unique monic irreducible polynomial  $p(x)$  in  $F[x]$  such that  $p(a) = 0$ . Such a polynomial is called the minimal polynomial for  $a$  over  $F$ .

**Definition**  $K \subseteq L$  field,  $\alpha \in L$  algebraic over  $K$ .  $M(x) \in K[x] \setminus \{0\}$  is a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  if

1.  $M(\alpha) = 0$
2.  $\deg M(x)$  minimal with this property
3.  $M(x)$  monic (leading coefficient 1)

Let  $a = \sqrt{3} + i$ . For  $p(x) = x$  we get  $p(a) = \sqrt{3} + i$ . Using  $-a$  directly gives

$$p(x) = x - a = x - (\sqrt{3} + i)$$

and then  $p(a) = a - a = 0$ . Any minimal polynomial must have  $\deg p(x)$  at least 1 because otherwise we cannot calculate  $p(a)$ , so as  $\deg p(x) = 1$  it is minimal. Also the coefficients 1 and  $\sqrt{3} + i$  are complex, so this is the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We continue with this polynomial by using the property  $i^2 = -1$  and calculating squares to eliminate  $i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) = 0 &= x - (\sqrt{3} + i) \\ x - \sqrt{3} &= i \\ i^2 &= x^2 - 2x\sqrt{3} + 3 \\ p(x) = 0 &= x^2 - 2x\sqrt{3} + 4 \end{aligned}$$

and verify that  $p(a) = 0$ . It is minimal because without using  $x^2$  to get  $i^2 = -1$  we cannot remove the imaginary part.

**Theorem** (Reducibility Test for Degrees 2 and 3) Let  $F$  be a field. If  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $\deg f(x)$  is 2 or 3, then  $f(x)$  is reducible over  $F$  if and only if  $f(x)$  has a zero in  $F$ .

The roots of the new  $p(x)$  are  $\sqrt{3} \pm i$ , so they are not in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consequently  $p(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ . The coefficient  $2\sqrt{3}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}$  but not  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The remaining coefficients are also in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $x^2 - 2x\sqrt{3} + 4$  is our minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We continue by squaring again to eliminate  $\sqrt{3}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^2 - 2x\sqrt{3} + 4 \\ 4x^2 \cdot 3 &= (x^2 + 4)^2 = x^4 + 8x^2 + 16 \\ 0 &= x^4 + 8x^2 - 12x^2 + 16 \\ p(x) = 0 &= x^4 - 4x^2 + 16 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and verify that  $p(a) = 0$ . There must be a second square operation because the root and the  $i$  are connected by  $+$ . Hence,  $p(x)$  is minimal.

We verify that  $x^4 - 4x^2 + 16$  is irreducible. The associated quadratic polynomial  $x^2 - 4x + 16$  has roots  $2 \pm 2i\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ . So by the reducibility test there is no root in the real numbers. We can use the previous identity 3 of  $p(x)$  and  $(a - b)(a + b) = a^2 - b^2$  to get a factorization with real number coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 - 4x^2 + 16 &= (x^2 + 4)^2 - 12x^2 \\ &= (x^2 + 4)^2 - (\sqrt{12}x)^2 \\ &= (x^2 - \sqrt{12}x + 4)(x^2 + \sqrt{12}x + 4) \end{aligned}$$

The roots of the quadratic equations are  $\sqrt{3} \pm i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $-\sqrt{3} \pm i \in \mathbb{C}$ . As no root is a real number,  $x^4 - 4x^2 + 16$  consists of two polynomials over the real numbers that are irreducible over the real numbers by the reducibility test. If  $x^4 - 4x^2 + 16$  were reducible over the rational numbers, the two factorizations in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  would coincide. Hence,  $x^4 - 4x^2 + 16$  is irreducible over the rational numbers and our minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## Exercise 110

*Here also apparently multiplying the conjugates is sufficient for the polynomial* **Task description** Same question as exercise 109 but for  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ .

**Solution** Let  $a = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ . For  $p(x) = x$  we get  $p(a) = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ . Using  $-a$  directly gives

$$p(x) = x - a = x - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$$

and then  $p(a) = a - a = 0$ . Any minimal polynomial must have  $\deg p(x)$  at least 1 because otherwise we cannot calculate  $p(a)$ , so as  $\deg p(x) = 1$  it is minimal. Also the coefficients  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$  are real numbers, so this is the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{R}$ . As the real numbers are a subset of the complex numbers, this is also the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For the rational numbers we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) \\ x &= \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \\ x^2 &= 2 + 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3} + 3 \\ x^2 - 5 &= 2\sqrt{6} \\ x^4 - 2x^2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 &= 4 \cdot 6 \\ p(x) = 0 &= x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

*If I remember correctly, we did not do very much Galois theory or vector space things in the lecture. So a solution without much of it.*

The zeros of  $p(x)$  are  $x_1 = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ ,  $x_2 = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$ ,  $x_3 = -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  and  $x_4 = -\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$ . Therefore over the reals we have the factorization

$$p(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3)(x - x_4).$$

It is not sufficient to check that none of the roots are rational, because  $p(x)$  could still have quadratic factors with rational coefficients. If  $p(x) = f(x)g(x)$  were a factorization as a product of two quadratics with rational coefficients, then  $x_1$  must be a zero of one of the factors. Without loss of generality we can assume that  $f(x_1) = 0$ . This means that the other zero of  $f(x)$  must be either  $x_2, x_3$  or  $x_4$ . But we can check that none of

$$\begin{aligned}
(x - x_1)(x - x_2) &= (x - \sqrt{2})^2 - (\sqrt{3})^2 = x^2 - 2\sqrt{2}x - 1 \\
(x - x_1)(x - x_3) &= (x - \sqrt{3})^2 - (\sqrt{2})^2 = x^2 - 2\sqrt{3}x + 1 \\
(x - x_1)(x - x_4) &= x^2 - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 = x^2 - 5 - 2\sqrt{6}
\end{aligned}$$

have rational coefficients. Therefore  $p(x)$  has no quadratic factors with rational coefficients, and hence must be irreducible.

Mathonline

Irreducibility