Gruppe A
Please fill in your name and registration number（Matrikelnr．）immediately．

| EXAM IN |  |  | 21.02 .2023 <br> GROUP AMPLE SOLUTION |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Matrikelnr． | Last Name | First Name |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Duration： 90 minutes．Provide the solutions at the designated pages；solutions on additional sheets of paper are not considered．Good Luck！

| Task | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\Sigma$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Max．Points | 12 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 70 |
| Points |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please，do not remove the staple．
Add your student ID and last name on every sheet，it simplifies entering points．

## Attention！

To all questions with a multiple choice option，the following rule applies：Just checking an option gives no points；points are only granted in combination with the required justification／example／．．．

## Notation：

In Questions 1－3，the notation as known from the lecture slides and exercises for transactions $T_{i}$ is used．Recall：
－$r_{i}(O)$ and $w_{i}(O)$ ：Read，respectively write operation of transaction $T_{i}$ on object $O$ ．
－$b_{i}, c_{i}, a_{i}$ ：begin（BEGIN OF TRANSACTION），commit（COMMIT）and abort（ABORT／ROLLBACK）of $T_{i}$ ．
The indices ${ }_{i}$ can be omitted if it is clear which transaction an operation belongs to．
In addition，log records also have the same format as used throughout the lecture：
［LSN，TA，PageID，Redo，Undo，PrevLSN］for＂normal＂records，
［LSN，TA，BOT，PrevLSN］for BOT log－records，and
［LSN，TA，COMMIT，PrevLSN］for COMMIT records．
Compensation log records（CLRs）follow the format
〈LSN，TA，PageID，Redo，PrevLSN，UndoNextLSN〉 and
〈LSN，TA，BOT，PrevLSN〉
In these records，LSN denotes the Log－Sequence Number，TA the transaction，PageID the page that was updated， Redo and Undo the information needed for the Redo resp．Undo operations，UndoNextLSN the LSN of the next $\log$ record of the same transaction to be undone，and PrevLSN the LSN of the previous log record of the same transaction．

In case of logical logging，the changes to the previous value of the database instance are stated only using addition and subtraction，e．g．$\left[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, X+=d_{1}, X-=d_{2}, \cdot\right]$ ．

Gruppe A：1／13

Question 1: Properties of transactions
Assume that a DBMS implements the following isolation-levels, and each transaction is free to choose in which isolation-level it executes.
L1: Share-Locks are requested immediately before- and released immediately after each read operation (no 2PL); 2PL for Exclusive-Locks (independent of the releases of Share-Locks).
L2: combined 2PL for Share- and Exclusive-Locks, for Exclusive-Locks strict 2PL is used. Lock-Upgrades (of a Share-Lock to an Exclusive-Lock) are possible and do not count as a lock release.

Please answer the following questions with "yes" or "no". When answering "yes", state a schedule (operations $\left.b_{i}, c_{i}, r_{i}(O), w_{i}(O)\right)$ that is consistent with the stated isolation-level and satisfies the given property. When answering "no", provide a short (1-2 sentences) justification.

Note: You need not state lock requests and releases of locks - just make sure that for your schedule a corresponding valid such sequence exists.
a) Consider the transaction

$$
T_{1}: b_{1}, r_{1}(A), w_{1}(A), w_{1}(B), r_{1}(A), r_{1}(B), r_{1}(A), c_{1}
$$

and an arbitrary transaction $T_{2}$. Assume that $T_{1}$ runs in level L1 and $T_{2}$ in level L2.
i) Is it possible that a Lost-Update happens in $T_{2}$ ?

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\hline \text { Lost-Update in } T_{2}: & \otimes & \text { yes } & \bigcirc & \text { no }
\end{array}
$$

Possible solution:
$b_{1}, b_{2}, r_{1}(A), r_{2}(A), w_{2}(A), c_{2}, w_{1}(A), w_{1}(B), r_{1}(A), r_{1}(B), r_{1}(A), c_{1}$
ii) Is it possible that an unrepeatable read happens in $T_{1}$ ?

> | Unrepeatable Read in $T_{1}:$ | $\otimes$ | yes | $\bigcirc$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Possible solution::
$b_{1}, b_{2}, r_{1}(A), w_{1}(A), w_{1}(B), r_{1}(A), w_{2}(A), c_{2}, r_{1}(B), r_{1}(A), c_{1}$
b) In addition to $T_{1}$ from a), consider the transaction

$$
T_{3}: b_{3}, w_{3}(C), r_{3}(B), w_{3}(B), r_{3}(A), c_{3}
$$

running in isolation level L2.
Is there a valid schedule of these two transactions that is not resettable?

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Question 2: Logging and Recovery
Consider the given Log/Compensation records of transactions $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$, and $T_{4}$.
Perform a recovery following the ARIES algorithm using these records.

| Log entries (Archive) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\# 1, T_{1}\right.$, BOT, | \#0] |
| $\left[\# 2, T_{4}, \mathrm{BOT}\right.$, | \#0] |
| $\left[\# 3, T_{4}, P_{D}\right.$, | $\mathrm{D}+=3, \quad \mathrm{D}-=3, \quad \# 2]$ |
| $\left[\# 4, T_{2}, \mathrm{BOT}\right.$, | \#0] |
| $\left[\# 5, T_{2}, P_{A}\right.$, | $\mathrm{A}-=2, \quad \mathrm{~A}+=2, \# 4]$ |
| $\left[\# 6, T_{2}, P_{B}\right.$, | $\mathrm{B}-=4, \quad \mathrm{~B}+=4, \# 5]$ |
| $\left[\# 7, T_{3}, \mathrm{BOT}\right.$, | \#0] |
| $\left[\# 8, T_{4}, P_{D}\right.$, | $\mathrm{D}+=1, \quad \mathrm{D}-=1, \quad \# 3]$ |
| $\left[\# 9, T_{3}, P_{C}\right.$, | $\mathrm{C}+=9, \quad \mathrm{C}-=9, \quad \# 7]$ |
| $\left[\# 10, T_{4}, P_{A}\right.$, | $\mathrm{A}+=2, \quad \mathrm{~A}-=2, \quad \# 8]$ |
| $\left[\# 11, T_{4}, P_{B}\right.$, | $\mathrm{B}-=2, \quad \mathrm{~B}+=2, \quad \# 10]$ |
| $\left[\# 12, T_{1}, P_{B}\right.$, | $\mathrm{B}+=4, \quad \mathrm{~B}-=4, \quad \# 1]$ |
| $\left[\# 13, T_{2}, P_{A}\right.$, | $\mathrm{A}+=15, \mathrm{~A}-=15, \# 6]$ |
| $\left\langle \# 14, T_{4}, P_{B}\right.$, | $\mathrm{B}+=2, \quad \# 11, \quad \# 10\rangle$ |
| [\#15, $T_{1}$, COMMIT, | \#12] |
| $\left\langle \# 16, T_{4}, P_{A}\right.$, | $\mathrm{A}-=2, \quad \# 14, \quad \# 8\rangle$ |
| $\left[\# 17, T_{3}, P_{C}\right.$, | $\mathrm{C}+=3, \quad \mathrm{C}-=3, \quad \# 9]$ |

Pages on the persistent storage:

| $P_{A}$ | LSN: $\# 5$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A=20$ |  |


| $P_{B}$ | LSN: $\# 6$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B=23$ |  |


| $P_{C}$ | LSN: $\# 9$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $C=40$ |$\quad$| $P_{D}$ | LSN: \#8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $D=17$ |  |

a) Compute the LSNs for $P_{A}, P_{B}, P_{C}, P_{D}$ and values for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ after the redo phase.
(4 points)

| $P_{A}$ | LSN: \#16 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A=35$ |  |


| $P_{B}$ | LSN:$B=27$  <br> $B=27$  |
| :---: | :---: |


| $P_{C}$ | LSN: \#17 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C=43$ |  |


| $P_{D}$ | LSN: \#8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $D=17$ |  |

b) Perform the undo phase. List the compensation log records (CLRs) in the lines below. You might not need all lines. Employ the usual format, which is also stated again on the first page of the exam.

c) State the values for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D after the undo phase has finished.
A: 22
B: 31
C: 31
D: 13

## Question 3: Locking/Concurrency Control

Below, a sequence of Exclusive- and Share Locks, abbreviated by $X_{i}(O)$ and $S_{i}(O)$, releases of locks, abbreviated by $\operatorname{rel} X_{i}(O)$ or relSi $(O)$, read- and write operations is given, abbreviated by $r_{i}(O)$ and $w_{i}(O)$, begin of transaction, abbreviated by $b_{i}$, end of transaction, abbreviated by $c_{i}$ or $a_{i}$. For different entries within the same row, an arbitrary order may by assumed. A lock upgrade is allowed (shared-lock to exclusive-lock). Then, only the exclusive lock needs to be released.
(3a) 2-Phase Locking (2PL): Correct Protocol?
State whether each of the five transactions $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{4}$, and $T_{5}$ follows the 2-Phase Lock (2PL) protocol. If not, describe how 2PL is violated.

| $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ | $T_{4}$ | $T_{5}$ | $T_{1}$ does not release A <br> $T_{2}$ : correct 2PL. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $b_{3}$ | $b_{4}$ | $b_{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}(A)$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{4}(A)$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}(C)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}(A)$ | $r_{2}(A)$ |  |  | $w_{5}(C)$ | $T_{3} \text { locks } C \text { exklusiv (XL), }$ |
| $r_{1}(A)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}_{5}(B)$ | while $T_{4}$ holds the exklusive lock .. |
|  | $r_{2}(A)$ |  |  | $\operatorname{relX}_{5}(C)$ | $T_{4}$ : correct 2PL. |
|  | $\mathrm{X}_{2}(C)$ |  | $r_{4}(A)$ | $w_{5}(B)$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{relS}_{2}(A)$ |  |  |  | $T_{5}$ : does not have XL on D but releases a lock. |
|  | $w_{2}(C)$ |  |  | $\mathrm{relX}_{5}(B)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}(B)$ | $w_{2}(C)$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{4}(B)$ |  |  |
|  | $\operatorname{relX}_{2}(C)$ |  | $r_{4}(B)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}_{4}(C)$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}(D)$ |  |
| $r_{1}(B)$ |  |  | $w_{4}(C)$ | $w_{5}(D)$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{3}(C)$ |  | $\operatorname{relX}_{5}(D)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}(D)$ |  | $r_{3}(C)$ |  | $c_{5}$ |  |
| $w_{1}(D)$ | $c_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}(C)$ | $\mathrm{relS}_{4}(B)$ |  |  |
| $\operatorname{relX}_{1}(D)$ |  | $w_{3}(C)$ | $\mathrm{relS}_{4}(A)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{relS}_{1}(B)$ |  | $\operatorname{relX}_{3}(C)$ | $\mathrm{relX}_{4}(C)$ |  |  |
| $c_{1}$ |  | $a_{3}$ | $c_{4}$ |  |  |

Hint: Consider not only one transaction at a time, but also transactions together.
(3b) Strict 2-Phase Lock (Strict 2PL) Protocol: Determine Locks
In order to enforce multi-user synchronization when accesses to a database occur, the strict 2-phase lock protocol is used. Consider the schedule given below.

Provide a sequence of lock requests and releases. If a transaction waits explicitly state that the transaction waits. If a transaction is in deadlock at the end of the schedule, assume that the transaction is aborted. In that case, release objects for which a lock has been granted.

You might find the notation as given on the previous page useful $\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}(O), \mathbf{S}_{i}(O), \operatorname{rel}^{\prime}(O), \mathbf{r e l S}_{i}(O)\right)$. In addition, use $\mathbf{g} \mathbf{S}_{i}(O)$ and $\mathbf{g} \mathbf{X}_{i}(O)$ to denote that read- or write lock is granted to transaction $T_{i}$. Take wait to indicate that transaction $T_{i}$ waits and use cont to state that transaction $T_{i}$ continues. You may omit continue, but we suggest that you add it for better readability. Use $\mathbf{a b o r t}_{i}$ to state that Transaction $T_{i}$ was aborted.
(5 points)

| $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ | $T_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $b_{4}$ |
|  |  | $b_{3}$ |  |
| $b_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $r_{1}(A)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $r_{3}(A)$ |  |
| $w_{1}(B)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $b_{2}$ |  |  |
|  | $r_{2}(A)$ |  |  |
| $w_{1}(A)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $r_{4}(C)$ |
|  | $w_{2}(C)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $a_{4}$ |
|  | $w_{2}(D)$ |  |  |
|  | $c_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $r_{3}(B)$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}_{1}(A), \mathrm{gS}_{1}(A), \mathrm{S}_{3}(A), \mathrm{gS}_{3}(A), \mathrm{X}_{1}(B), \mathrm{gS}_{1}(B), \mathrm{S}_{2}(A), \mathrm{gS}_{2}(A), \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1}(A) \text {, wait }{ }_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{4}(C), \mathrm{gS}_{4}(C), \mathrm{X}_{2}(C) \text {, } \text { wait }_{2}, \text { relS }_{4}(C) \text {, abort }{ }_{4} \text {, } \\
& \text { cont }_{2}, \mathrm{gX}_{2}(C), \mathrm{X}_{2}(D), \mathrm{gX}_{2}(D), \operatorname{relX}_{2}(D), \operatorname{relX}_{2}(C), \operatorname{relS}_{2}(A) \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{S}_{3}(B) \text {, wait }{ }_{3}, \mathrm{relS}_{3}(B), \operatorname{relS}_{3}(A) \text {, } \text { abort }_{3}, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1}(A), \operatorname{relX}_{1}(A), \operatorname{relX}_{1}(B), \text { abort }_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Questions 4-6 are all based on the database schema described on this page.
Question 4: Defining a database schema using SQL and dependencies
a) The following schema is given

```
    players (name, pid, country, mostGoals: contracts.id )
contracts (id, playerName: players.name, playerId: players.pid, salary, club)
    games (id: contracts.id, gid, coach: coaches.name, goals, time)
    coaches (name, totalGoals, country)
```

A university assistant needs to find a relational schema for a question in a data base systems test and, in the absence of new ideas, decides upon a generic schema consisting of players, contracts, games and coaches.
A player is uniquely identified by a name and a player id pid. In addition, the country of the player is also stored.
Players have contracts (contracts) with different clubs. Each contract is uniquely identified by an id. The ID must be a sequence, starting with 5 and continuing in steps of 10 . The player who has signed the contract is also saved (playerName, playerId). The salary of the player and the name of the club (club) are also recorded. salary should be implemented as a decimal number with at most 2 decimal places.
In addition, the contract under which a player has scored the most goals (mostGoals) is recorded for each player. For the solution of this task it is not necessary to check if mostGoals really refers to the contract under which the most goals were scored.
A player plays in games (games) for each contract. Every game is uniquely identified by the combination of the id of the associated contract and a game id (gid). For each game the number of achieved goals by the corresponding player of the contract is stored (goals), how many minutes they player was playing (time), as well as the coach. goals must be at least 0 .
Each coach has a unique name. In addition, the total number of scored goals of associated players (totalGoals) and the country of the coach are recorded in the database. The number of the total goals must lie between 0 and 5000 for each entry in the table. For the solution of this assignment it is not necessary to check if totalGoals really is set to the total number of associated goals.
Provide the necessary SQL statements to create the described schema, with all described integrity constraints. Choose appropriate types for attributes. You may use VC in place of VARCHAR(100).

```
CREATE SEQUENCE seq_id INCREMENT BY 10 MINVALUE 5 NO CYCLE;
CREATE TABLE players(
    name VARCHAR(100),
        pid INTEGER,
        country VARCHAR(100),
        mostGoals INTEGER,
        PRIMARY KEY (name,pid)
);
CREATE TABLE contracts(
        id INTEGER DEFAULT nextval('seq_id') PRIMARY KEY,
        playerName VARCHAR(100),
        playerId INTEGER,
        salary NUMERIC(8,2) NOT NULL,
        club VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
        FOREIGN KEY (playerName,playerId) REFERENCES players(name,pid)
);
ALTER TABLE players ADD CONSTRAINT c_mostGoals
    FOREIGN KEY (mostGoals) REFERENCES contracts(id)
    DEFERRABLE INITIALLY DEFERRED;
CREATE TABLE coaches(
    name VARCHAR(100) PRIMARY KEY,
    totalGoals INTEGER NOT NULL CHECK(totalGoals BETWEEN O AND 5000),
    country VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL
);
CREATE TABLE games(
    id INTEGER REFERENCES contracts(id),
    gid INTEGER,
    coach VARCHAR(100) REFERENCES coaches(name),
    goals INTEGER NOT NULL CHECK (goals >= 0),
    time INTEGER NOT NULL,
    PRIMARY KEY (id,gid)
);
```

Hint: Take care of the order of your statements.
b) For this task, you have to consider different key-dependencies.
i) Consider the following schema:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { game } & \text { (id: level.game, hardestLevel: level.name) } \\
\text { level } & \text { (game: game.id, name) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Complete the following tables in such a way that the resulting database instance satisfies the schema. You must assume that the two tables represent the complete database. To get points, the tables must be filled completely.

| level |  | game |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| game | name | id | hardestLevel |
| 220 | Blocks | 510 | Mushrooms |
| 330 | Stone Age | 220 | Blocks |
| 100 | Space | 100 | Space |
| 450 | Tunnels | 330 | Stone Age |
| 510 | Mushrooms | 450 | Tunnels |

Note: The solution is not unique, you need to enter only one possible solution. Note: There are multiple ways of solving this subtask, and only one possible solution is shown here.
ii) Consider the following schema:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Q} & \text { (id, } \underline{\mathrm{W}}: W . i d, \mathrm{E}: \text { E.id) } \\
\mathrm{W} & \text { (id, } \mathrm{Q}: ~ Q . i d) \\
\mathrm{E} & \text { (ㄷ, } \underline{\mathrm{W}}: W . i d)
\end{array}
$$

Complete the following tables in such a way that the resulting database instance satisfies the schema. You must assume that the three tables represent the complete database. To get points, the tables must be filled completely.

| Q |  |  | W |  | E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| id | W | E | id | Q | id | W |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

Note: The solution is not unique, you need to enter only one possible solution. Note: There are multiple ways of solving this subtask, and only one possible solution is shown here.
$\square$
Question 5: Recursive Queries
You are given the following recursive query using the same database schema as was used in question 4 a) :

```
WITH RECURSIVE tmp(playerName, country) AS
(
    SELECT P.name, P.country
    FROM players p
    WHERE p.name = 'Valentina Sommer' AND p.country = 'United Kingdom'
UNION
    SELECT p.name, p.country
    FROM tmp t, contracts cl, contracts c2, players p, games gl, games g2
    WHERE t.playerName = cl.playerName
    AND cl.id = gl.id
    AND c2.id = g2.id
    AND gl.time = g2.time
    AND c2.playerName = p.name
)
SELECT t.playerName, t.country
FROM tmp t
```

Evaluate the given query over the database instance, which can be found on Page 13. We suggest to unstaple Page 13 for easier handling.

| playerName | country |
| :--- | :--- |
| Valentina Sommer | United Kingdom |
| Juliane Dietrich | Austria |
| Frank Baumann | United States |
| Jakob Mueller | Netherlands |

Question 6: PL/SQL Trigger
Consider the database instance given on Page 13 (last sheet of the exam). We suggest to unstaple that page.
Each of the sub-tasks contains an SQL statement, which has to be evaluated on the given instance. For each task assume that the database was reset and only the content of the last page is relevant, meaning, an insert statement from sub-task (a) has no effect on sub-task (b) etc. Moreover, each function and trigger is only relevant for the corresponding sub-task.

Provide the result of the SELECT-Statements. If an error occurs, provide an explanation.
You are free to use arbitrary shorthand notations in your answers (as long as they can be uniquely identified).
a)

CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION fInsertGamesTwo() RETURNS TRIGGER AS \$\$ BEGIN

```
UPDATE coaches SET totalGoals = totalGoals + NEW.goals
WHERE NEW.coach = name;
RETURN NEW;
```

END;
\$\$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;
CREATE TRIGGER tInsertGamesTwo AFTER INSERT ON games
FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE fInsertGamesTwo ();
INSERT INTO games (id, gid, coach, goals, time)
VALUES (65, 4, 'Smith', 5, 30),
(15, 4, 'Wilson', 1, 93),
(15, 5, 'Wilson', 1, 95),
(25, 5, 'Miles', 2, 80);
SELECT * FROM coaches
WHERE country $=$ 'United Kingdom' AND totalGoals > 0 ;
name | totalgoals | country

Tilden | 2 | United Kingdom
Smith | 6 | United Kingdom
Wilson | 5 | United Kingdom
Miles | 2 | United Kingdom
b)

```
CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION fInsertGames() RETURNS TRIGGER AS $$
DECLARE
```

    old_g INTEGER;
    curr_pid INTEGER;
    new_g INTEGER;
    
## BEGIN

            SELECT SUM(g.goals), p.pid
            INTO old_g, curr_pid
    FROM games \(g\), players \(p\), contracts \(c\)
    WHERE NEW.id = c.id AND c.playerId = p.pid AND
        p.mostGoals = g.id
    GROUP BY p.pid;
    SELECT SUM(goals) INTO new_g
    FROM games WHERE NEW.id = id;
    IF new_g > old_g THEN
        UPDATE players SET mostGoals = NEW.id
        WHERE curr_pid = pid;
    END IF;
        RETURN NEW;
    END;
\$\$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;
CREATE TRIGGER tInsertGames AFTER INSERT ON games
FOR EACH ROW EXECUTE PROCEDURE fInsertGames();
INSERT INTO contracts(id, playerName, playerId, salary, club)
VALUES (70, 'Frank Baumann', 12, 200000.50, 'FC Barca'),
(75, 'Valentina Sommer', 13, 100000.50, 'Real Madrid'),
(80, 'Juliane Dietrich', 11, 300000.50, 'FC Barca'),
(85, 'Thomas Stidl', 14, 400000.50, 'Real Madrid');
INSERT INTO games(id, gid, coach, goals, time)
VALUES (70, 4, 'Martins', 1, 50),
(75, 4, 'Smith', 2, 70),
(75, 5, 'Smith', 2, 70),
(80, 4, 'Wilson', 4, 70),
(85, 5, 'Taylor', 1, 70);
SELECT name, pid, mostGoals FROM players WHERE pid < 15;
name | pid | mostgoals
------------------+----------------------
Thomas Stidl | 14 | 45
Frank Baumann | 12 | 5
Valentina Sommer | 13 | 75
Juliane Dietrich | 11 | 80
c)

## CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION fExpensiveContracts() RETURNS TRIGGER AS

\$

## BEGIN

IF NEW.club = 'Real Madrid' AND NEW. salary > 400000 THEN
INSERT INTO contracts
VALUES (NEW.id, NEW.playerName, NEW.playerId, NEW.salary / 2, NEW.club);
RETURN NULL;
ELSE
RETURN NEW;
END IF;

## END;

\$\$ LANGUAGE plpgsql;
CREATE TRIGGER tExpensiveContracts
BEFORE INSERT
ON contracts
FOR EACH ROW
EXECUTE PROCEDURE fExpensiveContracts();

```
INSERT INTO contracts(id, playerName, playerId, salary, club) VALUES
    (70, 'Frank Baumann', 12, 500000.00, 'FC Barca'),
    (75, 'Valentina Sommer', 13, 840000.00, 'Real Madrid'),
    (80, 'Juliane Dietrich', 11, 800000.00, 'FC Barca'),
    (85, 'Thomas Stidl', 14, 600000.00, 'Real Madrid');
```

SELECT id, salary, playerid FROM contracts
WHERE club = 'FC Barca' OR club = 'Real Madrid';
id | salary | playerid
----+-----------+------------
70 | $500000.00 \mid 12$
75 | $210000.00 \mid 13$
80 | $800000.00 \mid 11$
$85|300000.00| 14$

Have a successful exam.

Instance for Question 5 and Question 6:
You may separate this page form the exam and keep this page.
Please do not write on this page! Solutions written on this sheet will not be graded!
players

| name | pid | country | mostGoals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Juliane Dietrich | 11 | Austria | 25 |
| Valentina Sommer | 13 | United Kingdom | 15 |
| Thomas Stidl | 14 | Germany | 45 |
| Frank Baumann | 12 | United States | 5 |
| Alice Wallner | 15 | Italy | 35 |
| Elisabeth Stoll | 16 | Belgium | 65 |
| Jakob Mueller | 17 | Netherlands | 55 |


| id | gid | coach | goals | time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 1 | Smith | 1 | 90 |
| 5 | 2 | Smith | 0 | 40 |
| 15 | 1 | Wilson | 2 | 55 |
| 15 | 2 | Wilson | 1 | 90 |
| 15 | 3 | Taylor | 0 | 70 |
| 25 | 1 | Miles | 0 | 55 |
| 35 | 1 | Hemming | 1 | 45 |
| 45 | 1 | Tilden | 2 | 20 |
| 55 | 1 | Martins | 0 | 10 |
| 55 | 2 | Martins | 1 | 70 |
| 65 | 1 | Smith | 0 | 85 |

contracts

| id | playerName | playerId | salary | club |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Frank Baumann | 12 | 500000.5 | FC Lions |
| 15 | Valentina Sommer | 13 | 450000.75 | SK Castle |
| 25 | Juliane Dietrich | 11 | 300000.9 | FC Bulls |
| 35 | Alice Wallner | 15 | 250000.5 | FC Pool |
| 45 | Thomas Stidl | 14 | 450000.65 | SK Tempo |
| 55 | Jakob Mueller | 17 | 400000.56 | SK Burg |
| 65 | Elisabeth Stoll | 16 | 200000.55 | FC Sun |

coaches

| name | totalGoals | country |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | 1 | United Kingdom |
| Wilson | 3 | United Kingdom |
| Martins | 1 | Austria |
| Taylor | 0 | United Kingdom |
| Miles | 0 | United Kingdom |
| Hemming | 1 | Germany |
| Tilden | 2 | United Kingdom |

