

Processing of Stochastic Signals

(Exercises 8)

December 22, 2011

8.2.a: $z = x + jy$, $\underline{C}_z, \underline{x}, \underline{y}$ real-valued

according to (3.177)

$$\underline{C}_z = \underline{C}_x + \underline{C}_y + \left(\underline{C}_{y,x} - \underline{C}_{y,x}^T \right)$$

in case of circular symmetry about the mean we have according to (3.185)

$$\underline{C}_x = \underline{C}_y, \underline{C}_{y,x} = -\underline{C}_{x,y}$$

and therefore

$$\underline{C}_z = 2\underline{C}_x + 2j\underline{C}_{y,x}$$

8.2.b:

1. diagonal elements of $\underline{C}_{x,y}$ zero

$$(3.185) \text{ and } (3.186) \quad \underline{C}_{y,x} = \underline{C}_{x,y}^T, \underline{C}_{y,x} = -\underline{C}_{x,y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Diagonal Elements: } \left(\underline{C}_{x,y} \right)_{i,i} = - \left(\underline{C}_{x,y} \right)_{i,i}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{and this is only possible if } \left(\underline{C}_{x,y} \right)_{i,i} = 0$$

2. $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ uncorrelated iff $\underline{C}_z \in R^{N \times N} \Rightarrow$ imaginary part has to become zero:

$$\underline{C}_z = 2\underline{C}_x + 2j \underbrace{\underline{C}_{y,x}}_{=0}$$

$\underline{C}_{y,x} = 0$ gives that $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ are uncorrelated.

3. $\underline{C}_z \underline{C}_z^H$ real-valued iff $\underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x^T = \underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x^T = \underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x^T = \underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x \underline{C}_x \Rightarrow \underline{C}_x = \underline{C}_x^T \\ \underline{C}_{x,y}^T = \underline{C}_{y,x} = -\underline{C}_{x,y} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\underline{C}} \underline{\underline{C}}^H &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \\ 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \\ 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \end{pmatrix}^H \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \\ 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x - 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \\ 2\underline{\underline{C}}_x - 2j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 4\underline{\underline{C}}_x + 4j\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \underline{\underline{C}}_x - 4j\underline{\underline{C}}_x \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y} + 4\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y} \\
&= 4 \left(\underline{\underline{C}}_x \underline{\underline{C}}_x + \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y} \right) + 4j \left(\underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \underline{\underline{C}}_x + \underline{\underline{C}}_x \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For this to be real valued the following must hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\underline{C}}_x \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T - \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y} \underline{\underline{C}}_x &= 0 \\
\underline{\underline{C}}_x \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y}^T &= \underline{\underline{C}}_{x,y} \underline{\underline{C}}_x
\end{aligned}$$

therefore this holds under our assumption.

8.3.a:

$$\underline{\underline{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix}, \underline{\underline{\mu}}_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_{s_1}^2 = \sigma_{s_2}^2 = 7/4, R_{s_1, s_2} = 1/2$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}, \underline{\underline{\mu}}_n = 0, \sigma_{n_1}^2 = \sigma_{n_2}^2 = 1, R_{n_1, n_2} = -1/4$$

$$\underline{\underline{y}} = \underline{\underline{n}} + \underline{\underline{s}}$$

according to (3.272)

$$A_{LMMSE} = \underline{\underline{R}}_s \left(\underline{\underline{R}}_s + \underline{\underline{R}}_n \right)^{-1} = \underline{\underline{R}}_s \underline{\underline{R}}_y^{-1}$$

$$\underline{\underline{R}}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{s_1}^2 + \mu_{s_1}^2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & \sigma_{s_2}^2 + \mu_{s_2}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{R}}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{\underline{R}}_y = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1/4 \\ 1/4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{R}}_y^{-1} : \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 3 & 1/4 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad =_{Z_1 - \frac{Z_2}{12}} \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \frac{143}{48} & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{12} \\ 1/4 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$=_{\frac{143}{12} Z_2 - Z_1} \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 143/48 & 0 & 1 & -1/12 \\ 0 & 143/4 & -1 & 12 \end{array} \right) \quad =_{12Z_1} \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 143/4 & 0 & 12 & -1 \\ 0 & 143/4 & -1 & 12 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\underline{\underline{R}}_y^{-1} = \frac{4}{143} \begin{pmatrix} 12 & -1 \\ -1 & 12 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 48/143 & -4/143 \\ -4/143 & 48/143 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{LMMSE} = \frac{4}{143} \begin{pmatrix} 47/2 & 4 \\ 4 & 47/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 94/143 & 16/143 \\ 16/143 & 94/143 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{s}_{LMMSE} = \begin{pmatrix} 94/143 & 16/143 \\ 16/143 & 94/143 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

8.3.b:

(3.265)

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{LMMSE} &= tr \left\{ \underline{\underline{R}}_s - \underline{\underline{R}}_{y,s}^T \underline{\underline{R}}_y^{-1} \underline{\underline{R}}_{y,s} \right\} \\
\underline{\underline{R}}_{y,s} &= E \left\{ (\underline{n} + \underline{ss}^T) \right\} = E \left\{ \underline{ns}^T + \underline{ss}^T \right\} = \\
&= E \left\{ \underline{ns}^T \right\} + E \left\{ \underline{ss}^T \right\} = \underline{\underline{R}}_s \\
\epsilon_{LMMSE} &= tr \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 48/143 & -4/143 \\ -4/143 & 48/143 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
&= tr \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 94/143 & 16/143 \\ 16/143 & 94/143 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
&= tr \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 196/143 & 79/143 \\ 79/143 & 196/143 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
&= tr \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 90/143 & -15/286 \\ -15/286 & 90/143 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\
&= \frac{180}{143} = \epsilon_{LMMSE}
\end{aligned}$$

8.3.c:

Because the signal has non-zero mean it would be better to use the inhomogeneous LMMSE estimator instead of the homogeneous LMMSE estimator.

8.4.a:

from $\underline{W} \in R^M \times N$ and definition of \underline{y}

$$\Rightarrow \underline{x} \in R^N, \underline{y} \in R^M, \underline{v} \in R^M$$

8.4.b:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{page 101 ff.}) \quad \hat{\underline{x}}_{LMMSE} &= \underline{A}_{LMMSE} \underline{y} = \underline{R}_{x,y}^T \underline{R}_y^{-1} \underline{y} \\
(3.270) \quad &\left(\underline{WR}_x \right)^T \left(\underline{WR}_x \underline{W}^T + \underline{R}_y \right)^{-1} \underline{y} \\
(3.262) \quad &\underline{R}_x \underline{W}^T \left(\underline{WR}_x \underline{W}^T + \underline{R}_y \right)^{-1} \underline{y}
\end{aligned}$$

8.4.c:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{LMMSE} &= tr \left\{ \underline{R}_x - \underline{R}_{y,x}^T \underline{R}_y^{-1} \underline{R}_{y,x} \right\} \\
\underline{R}_{y,x} &= \underline{WR}_x \\
\underline{R}_y^{-1} &= \left(\underline{WR}_x \underline{W}^T + \underline{R}_y \right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

8.4.d:

straight forward, just apply the hint given on the sheet