

**Data & Pre-Processing**

**Numerical Data:** Discrete / Continuous

**Categorical Data:** Nominal / Ordinal

**Data Analysis:** min, max, quantiles, median, mean, stddev, outliers, correlation matrix (dependency of features each other)

**Pre-Processing:** delete/impute, discretize (grouping e.g. by age), scaling; re-label (small→0), one-hot-encode, drop unimportant feat.

**Standardization (z-score)**  $x'_j = \frac{x_j - \bar{x}_j}{\sigma}$ , where  $\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum x_j$

**Minkowski Distance:**  $d(a,b) = (\sum_{i=1}^m |a_i - b_i|^p)^{1/p}$

**Levenshtein (Edit) Distance:** Min number of edits required.

**Core Concepts of Machine Learning**

Instance space  $X$ , Label space  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Dataset  $S = ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_m, y_m)) \in (X \times \mathcal{Y})^m$ . Model  $h : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ . Loss function  $\mathcal{L}$ . Data space  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Supervised Learning:** Minimize **Empirical risk**  $R_S = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{L}(h, (x_i, y_i))$ . In other words  $h^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} (R_S(h))$ .

**Classification:** Is goat or sheep? Loss = 0 if  $x = y$  else Loss = 1.

**Regression:** How does it weigh? Loss =  $(h(x) - y)^2$ .

**True risk:**  $R_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \mathcal{D}} [\mathcal{L}(h, (x, y))]$

**Gradient descent:** Walk down slope,  $\eta$  learning rate,  $\nabla f$  gradient.

**Underfitting:** High bias, low variance.

**Overfitting:** Low bias, high variance.

**Regularization:** Add penalty to loss for high complexity,  $L1$  (when few features important) and  $L2$  (when all features important).

**Basic Algorithms I**

**Gradient**  $\nabla \mathcal{L}$ :  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}' \text{ by } w_1(w_1, \dots, w_n) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{L}' \text{ by } w_n(w_1, \dots, w_n) \end{bmatrix}$

**Linear model:**  $h(x) = w_1 \cdot x + w_0$ . Optimal (closed form) solution:  
 $w_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$ ,  $w_0 = \bar{y} - w_1 \bar{x}$

**Polynomial model:**  $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^p w_k x^k$ . To fit use

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{y}, \text{ where } \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}^T \\ (\mathbf{x}^1)^T \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{x}^p)^T \end{bmatrix}, \text{ e.g. } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ x_1^1 & x_2^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_1^p & x_2^p \end{bmatrix}$$

**Multiple Polynomial model:**  $h(x) = \sum_{k=1}^p w_k^T x^k + w_0$ . Fit is the same as Polynomial model, but  $(x^k)^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}^k & \dots & x_{m1}^k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1d}^k & \dots & x_{md}^k \end{bmatrix}$

**Basic Algorithms II**

**Linear Classifier:**  $h(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x^T \cdot a - b)$ , or tanh instead of sign.

Solve the same as linear regression, but use  $w = \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Perceptron Algorithm:** Initialize  $\mathbf{w}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ . For each  $\mathbf{x}_i$ : If  $\operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}_{i-1}^T \mathbf{x}_i) \neq y_i$ :  $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{w}_{i-1} + y_i \mathbf{x}_i$ .

**Logistic Regression:**  $p(x) = \sigma(w^T x)$ ,  $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ . Use empirical risk  $R_S(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$ .

**Decision Tree:** Disjunctive Normal Form over features (e.g.  $(x_1 \wedge \neg x_2) \vee \dots$ ). Train by choosing split (feature=true | feature=false) with least impurity  $I$ . Stopping: Depth limit, min samples per leaf, or pure node.

**Ensemble Methods:** Multiple models, classify with majority voting.

**Bagging:** Split training data for each model. E.g. **Random Forest**.

**Boosting:** Train second model on errors made by first model.

**Experiment Design & Evaluation**

**Hyperparameter:** model parameter (e.g. tree depth, #leaves).

**Grid Search:** Search for optimal Hyperparam. in grid exhaustively.

**Random Search:** Randomly try out Hyperparam.  $n$  times.

**Random Seed:** Not a Hyperparam.. Set to fixed value.

**Dataset splitting:** Train, Validation (for Hyperparameters), Test

- **Holdout:** Split e.g. 80% Train, 10% Val, 10% Test.
- **k-fold:** Split  $k$  parts, one part Test, rest Train. Train  $k$  models.

- **Stratification:** Equally distribute labels across splits.

**Regression Metrics (Loss):** Mean Average Error, (Root) Mean Squared Error.

**Acc.**  $\frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}$  **Pre.**  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$  **Rec.**  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$  **F1**  $2 \cdot \frac{\text{Pre.} \cdot \text{Rec.}}{\text{Pre.} + \text{Rec.}}$   
**Baseline model:** Dummy model to compare trained model to.

**ML Theory & PAC Learning**

**Hypothesis Class  $\mathcal{H}$ :** Class of all possible hypotheses.

**i.i.d. Assumption:** Independent identically distributed samples.

**Realizability:** Does a perfect  $h$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  exist.

$\mathcal{H}$  **PAC-Learnable:**  $\exists$  Algorithm  $A$ , error at most  $\varepsilon$ , prob  $\delta$  to fail satisfying min error, min required samples "sample complexity"  
 $m(\varepsilon, \delta) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\ln(|\mathcal{H}|) + \ln(1/\delta))$ .

$\mathcal{H}$  **shatters**  $X$  if all labellings of  $X$  have a correct  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Vapnik-Chervonenkis (vc) Dimension:** Size of largest  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  shatters  $X$ .  $\text{vc}(\mathcal{H}) \leq \log_2 |\mathcal{H}|$ .

If  $\text{vc}(\mathcal{H}) = d < \infty$  then  $m(\varepsilon, \delta) \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (d \ln(1/\varepsilon) + \ln(1/\delta)))$ .

**SVM & Kernel Methods**

**Support Vector Machine (SVM):** computes Hyperplane, based on support vectors.

**Hyperplane:** Defined by  $w^T x = b$ , for  $x_i \in$  support vectors we can calculate  $y_i(w^T x_i + b) = 1$ . **Margin**  $\gamma = 1/\|w\|$ .

**Kernel Function:** Computes similarity between two data points, can be used as new "dimension".

**Positive Semi-Definite:**  $\forall c : c^T A c \geq 0$ . Calculate by using  $c^T = (x, y, z)$ .

**Probabilistic ML**

**Bayesian Inference:** Let  $\Theta$  be hypothesis,  $\mathcal{X}$  be data.

$$\underbrace{P(\Theta|\mathcal{X})}_{\text{Posterior}} = \frac{\overbrace{P(\mathcal{X}|\Theta)P(\Theta)}^{\text{Likelihood} \quad \text{Prior}}}{\underbrace{\sum_{\theta'} P(\mathcal{X}|\Theta = \theta')P(\Theta = \theta')}_{\text{Marginal Likelihood (Evidence)}}} = \frac{P(\mathcal{X}|\Theta)P(\Theta)}{P(\mathcal{X})}$$

**Max Likelihood Est.:**  $\theta_{MLE}^* = \arg \max_{\theta} P(\mathcal{X}|\Theta = \theta)$

E.g.  $P(\text{Tails}|A) = 0.1$ ,  $P(\text{Tails}|B) = 0.9$ , therefore  $MLE = 0.9$ .

**Max A Posteriori Est.:**  $\theta_{MAP}^* = \arg \max_{\theta} P(\mathcal{X}|\Theta = \theta)P(\Theta = \theta)$

E.g.  $P(\text{Tails}|A) = 0.1$ ,  $P(\text{Tails}|B) = 0.9$ ,  $P(A) = 0.99$ ,  $P(B) = 0.01$  therefore  $MAP = 0.99 * 0.1 = 0.099$

**Factorized Probability Distribution** Example with  $X_2$  depends on  $X_1$ ,  $X_3$  depends on  $X_2$ ,  $X_4$  depends on  $X_2$ :  
 $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = P(X_1) \cdot P(X_2|X_1) \cdot P(X_3|X_2) \cdot P(X_4|X_2)$

**Dim. Reduction & Distance Algorithms**

**Principal Component Analysis (PCA):** Reduce number of dimensions, by projecting data  $X$  onto direction  $w$ . Maximize variance  $\text{Var}(Xw) \Leftrightarrow$  Minimize reconstruction error  $\|X - Xww^T\|^2$ .  $w$  = eigenvector of  $C$  with largest eigenvalue,  $C = \frac{1}{n-1} X^T X$ .

**Random Projection (Johnson-Lindenstrauss):** faster than PCA, but some error expected

**t-SNE:** alt. to PCA, but non-(linear, deterministic, parameter-free), tries to preserve similar data points being close to each other.

**k-NN:** Classify point based on majority class of  $k$  nearest neighbors.

**k-Means:** Iteratively find  $k$  clusters with least distance from center.

**Spectral Clustering:** Works on non-circular shapes, unlike k-Means.

**Hierarchical Clustering:** Tree of clusters, arbitrary # of clusters.

**Deep Neural Networks**

**Multi-layer perceptron (MLP):** Stacking of multiple perceptrons.  $L = \#$  of layers.  $a_i^l =$  node  $i$  in layer  $l$ .  $w_{i,j}^l =$  weight from node  $j$

in layer  $l$  to node  $i$  in layer  $l + 1$ .  $s^l =$  pre-activation vector in layer  $l$ .  $a^l =$  activation vector in layer  $l$ .  $W^l$  weights of layer  $l$ .  $b^l =$  bias.

**Activation Function:** Non-linear function  $\sigma \Rightarrow$  non-linear network.  $a^l = \sigma(s^l) = \sigma(W^{l-1}a^{l-1})$ .  $s_j^l = \sum_i a_i^{l-1} w_{i,j}^{l-1}$ .  $\sigma$  e.g. tanh, ReLU.

**Universal Approx. Theorem:** Network with  $>1$  hidden layer, non-linear activation can approximate continuous function  $[0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

**Forward Pass:** Calculation of output nodes based on input nodes.

**Backpropagation:** Minimize Loss  $\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}, y)$ . Error at layer  $l$  is  $\delta^l$ .  $\delta^{l-1} = (W^l)^T \delta^l \odot \sigma'(s^{l-1})$  ( $\odot \dots$  component wise multiplication).

Gradient  $\nabla W^l = \delta^l (a^{l-1})^T$ , gradient of bias  $\nabla b^l = \delta^l$ .  
Example with 1 hidden layer:  
 $\nabla W^2_{new} = \delta^2 (a^1)^T$ .  $\nabla W^1 = \delta^1 (a^0)^T = ((W^2)^T \delta^2 \odot \sigma'(s^1))(a^0)^T$

---

**Bias and Fairness in AI**

**Fairness through Unawareness:** removing protected attribute  $A$  fails because proxies (e.g., ZIP code) correlate with  $A$ .

**1. Statistical Parity (Naïve):** Assign labels at equal rate to groups.  
*Con:* If different groups have different rates, fails.

**2. Calibration:** Outcome independent of group given score.  
*Con:* Error distribution can differ.

**3. Error Rate Balance:** Equal error rates across groups.  
*Con:* Cannot be combined with calibration.

**4. Individual Fairness:** Treat similar individuals similarly (measure their distance in the data, risk proportional to distance).  
*Con:* Difficult to define distance measures.

---

**Reinforcement Learning**

An **Agent** interacts with an **Environment**. At time step  $t$ , agent observes **State**  $S_t$ , selects **Action**  $A_t$  based on **Policy**  $\pi$ , and receives **Reward**  $R_{t+1}$  and a new state  $S_{t+1}$  from the environment.

**State:** Representation of the current situation of the environment.

**Action:** The decision or move made by the agent.

**Reward:** Scalar feedback indicating immediate success of an action.

**Policy:** A mapping (function) from states to actions (or probabilities of actions) defining the agent's behavior.

**Return ( $G_t$ ):** The cumulative sum of discounted future rewards, defined as  $G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$ .

**Discount Factor ( $\gamma$ ):** A value  $\in [0, 1]$  that determines the importance of future rewards compared to immediate rewards.

**Value Function:** The expected return starting from a state (and action) following a specific policy.

**Goal:** The objective is to find an optimal policy  $\pi$  that maximizes the expected value of the return  $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t|S_t = s]$ .

---