

Computernumerik (Visual Computing) Test 1

22.November 2024

Time: 100 minutes

I tried to copy the exact test questions as good as possible. The given solutions are just my personal solutions, so no guarantee they are 100% correct.

1.1

Given are the knots $x_0 = -1, x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$ and values $f_0 = 2, f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0$

a) Calculate the Lagrange polynomials l_i and the resulting quadratic interpolation polynomial $p(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}l_0 &= \frac{x-0}{-1-0} \cdot \frac{x-1}{-1-1} = \frac{x^2-x}{2} \\l_1 &= \frac{x+1}{0+1} \cdot \frac{x-1}{0-1} = \frac{x^2-1}{-1} = 1-x^2 \\l_2 &= \frac{x+1}{1+1} \cdot \frac{x-0}{1-0} = \frac{x^2+x}{2}\end{aligned}$$

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i)l_i(x) = 2\left(\frac{x^2-x}{2}\right) = x^2 - x$$

b) Assume the form $p(x) = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2$. Let vector $x = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)^T$. Create a system of linear equations in form of the matrix A and vector b , so that $Ax = b$. You do not have to solve the system. Use x_0, x_1, x_2 and f_0, f_1, f_2 as stated in the beginning.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_0 & x_0^2 \\ 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta x_0 + \gamma x_0^2 \\ \alpha + \beta x_1 + \gamma x_1^2 \\ \alpha + \beta x_2 + \gamma x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p(x_0) \\ p(x_1) \\ p(x_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- c) Explain why the Chebyshev knots are a good choice. What is the growth of the Lebesgue constant in terms of n when using Chebyshev knots.

When sampling uniformly, the biggest errors occur near the interval borders. To counter that, the Chebyshev knots have a higher sampling rate near the interval borders.

$$A_n^{Cheb} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(n+1) + 1 \rightarrow \text{it grows logarithmically}$$

1.2

- a) Estimate a bound for $\|f - p\|_{\infty, [a, b]}$ in terms of $f^{(n+1)}$.

script WS23/24, page 15

$$\|f - p\|_{\infty, [a, b]} \leq \|\omega_{n+1}\|_{\infty, [a, b]} \frac{\|f^{(n+1)}\|_{\infty, [a, b]}}{(n+1)!} \leq (b-a)^{n+1} \frac{\|f^{(n+1)}\|_{\infty, [a, b]}}{(n+1)!}$$

- b) Define the Lebesgue constant in terms of the knots x_0, \dots, x_n .

$$A_n = \max_{x \in [-1, 1]} \sum_{i=0}^n |l_i(x)|$$

where l_i is the Lagrange polynomial of x_i

- c) Bound the error $\|f - I_n f\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]}$ in terms of the Lebesgue constant.

script WS23/24, page 23

$$\|f - I_n f\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]} \leq (1 + A_n) \min_{q \in P_n} \|f - q\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]}$$

1.3

Given is the Quadrature formula $Q(f) = \frac{1}{2}f(\frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}f(\frac{3}{4})$

- a) Is $Q(f)$ exact for $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ on the interval $[0, 2]$?

$$\int_0^2 x^2 + 1 dx = \frac{4}{3} = \frac{64}{48}$$

$$Q(f) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{17}{16} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{25}{16} = \frac{21}{16} = \frac{63}{48}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{error} = \frac{1}{48}$$

- b) What is the degree of exactness of $Q(f)$? Justify your answer.

We will check if $Q(f)$ is exact for a basis of P_n on the interval $[0, 1]$.

$$P_0: f(x) = 1, \int_0^1 1 dx = 1, Q(f) = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P_1: f(x) = x, \int_0^1 x dx = \frac{1}{2}, Q(f) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \checkmark$$

As seen in a), $Q(f)$ is not exact for all $p \in P_2$. Thus, the degree of exactness is 1.

c) What is the degree of exactness for Gaussian quadrature when using 2 interpolation points? What is the general degree of exactness for Gaussian quadrature using $n + 1$ interpolation points?

$$n + 1 \text{ points} \rightarrow \text{degree } 2n + 1$$

$$2 \text{ points} \rightarrow \text{degree } 2(1) + 1 = 3$$

1.4

$$\phi(x) = \sqrt{2x + 1} - 1, x > 0$$

Estimate an upper bound on the relative condition number of $\phi(x)$. Would you consider the problem well-conditioned? What issues could arise when x is near 0? Give a stable implementation of $\phi(x)$.

$$\phi'(x) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (2x + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}}$$

$$\kappa_{rel}(x) = \frac{|\phi'(x)|}{|\phi(x)|} |x| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}} \right| \cdot \frac{1}{|\sqrt{2x+1}-1|} \cdot |x| \stackrel{x>0}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}-1} \cdot x$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}} \cdot \frac{(\sqrt{2x+1}+1)}{(\sqrt{2x+1}-1)(\sqrt{2x+1}+1)} \cdot x$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2x+1}+1}{2x} \cdot x = \frac{\sqrt{2x+1}+1}{2\sqrt{2x+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2x+1}}{\sqrt{2x+1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}}}_{\leq 1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + 1) = 1$$

The problem is well-conditioned because $\kappa_{rel} \leq 1$ for all $x > 0$.

Near 0 the problem arises, that we subtract 2 very similar numbers from each other, which is ill-conditioned. A stable implementation would be:

$$\phi(x) = \sqrt{2x + 1} - 1 = \frac{(\sqrt{2x+1}-1) \cdot (\sqrt{2x+1}+1)}{\sqrt{2x+1}+1} = \frac{(\sqrt{2x+1}-1) \cdot (\sqrt{2x+1}+1)}{\sqrt{2x+1}+1} = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{2x+1}+1}$$

Since $\sqrt{\cdot}$, \cdot , $+$ are all well-conditioned.

1.5

Decide if True or False.

a)

1. For a function f and knots x_0, \dots, x_n there exists a unique interpolation polynomial $p \in P_n$ that interpolates f in x_0, \dots, x_n . True
2. More interpolation knots always mean a lower error. False
3. For Lagrange-interpolation with uniformly sampled knots, the Lebesgue constant grows with $O(\log n)$. False (growth is exponential, see script WS23/24, page 24)

b)

1. The cost for evaluating a polynomial $p \in P_n$ with the Neville Scheme is $O(n^2)$. True
2. Taking the square root of a positive number is well-conditioned. True
3. If a problem is well conditioned, then an algorithm that realizes it is always stable. False

c)

1. Quadrature rules with $\sum \omega_i = 1$ on the interval $[0,1]$ are always correct for constant functions. True
2. The composite Simpson rule converges with order 3. False (Order 4, script WS23/24, page 47)
3. The weights for Gaussian quadrature are always positive. True

d) Fill in the blanks:

1. The composite trapezoidal rule is of order (2).
2. The Simpson rule has a degree of exactness of (3).
3. Subtraction of two similar number is (ill) conditioned.